(97)

## HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

**Writ Petition (s) No. 2619 of 2007**

**Petitioners**

1) Sundar Lal Jain, aged about 42 years, S/o. Shri Krishna Ram Jain, Assistant Teacher, Primary School Rodde.

2) Mohan Lal Jain, aged about 42 years, S/o. Shri Dilip Kumar Jain, Mandavi Assistant Teacher, Middle School Nathima Navagaon.

3) Sagua Ram Darro, Aged about 37 years, S/o. Baldu Ram Darro, Assistant Teacher, Primary School Allbeda.

4) Chetan Singh Darro, aged about 40 years, S/o. Late M.S. Darro, Assistant Teacher, Primary School Pidhapal,

All are at Block Kanker, Dist. Kanker, CG.

**Versus**

**Respondents**

1) State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, School Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, CG.

2) The Director, Public Instruction Department, Raipur, CG

3) District Education Officer, Kanker District Kanker, CG.

4) Block Education Officer, Kanker, CG.

5) Treasury & Funds Department, Kanker, CG

(Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India ).

**(SB: Hon'ble Shri Justice Satish K. Agnihotri)**

PRESENT:

Shri D.K. Prajapati, counsel for the petitioners.
Ku. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the State.

28

**ORAL ORDER**

**(Passed on 1st May 2007)**

1. The question of law involved herein as to whether the petitioners are entitled to regular pay scale with effect from the date of initial appointment or from the date of completion of probation period.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same to that of in Writ Petition (S) No. 5976 of 2006 (Sushil Tiwari & others Vs. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7-11-2006.

3. In view of the above stated submission made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is allowed in the same terms. No order as to costs.

**Sd/-**
**Satish K. Agnihotri**
**Judge**

Raju